

LGBTI Individual's Health Disparities and Limitations in Healthcare Accessibility to Necessary  
Services

Word Count: 1292

## LGBTI Individual's Health Disparities and Limitations in Healthcare Accessibility to Necessary Services

The health inequities amongst sexual minorities are well-documented and increasingly reflect the poor conditions for the overall health of LGBTI individuals in contrast with the general population. A clinical observer at St. Michael's Hospital, Hafeez, reports that America's LGBTI populace accounts for 3.8% of the population, nevertheless, 57% of this community experiences discrimination in the healthcare industry (2017). Analogously, data collected by Professor Sherriff *et al.* of Public Health and Health Promotion at the University of Brighton, reveals LGBTI individuals reported apprehensiveness in accessing healthcare due to prejudice and barriers in communication between patients and health professionals (2019). Thusly, sexual minorities are unable to locate sufficient services and encounter rejections of service in healthcare settings due to bigotry and stigma. A study in Washington DC indicated that 68% of LGBTI youth did not discuss their sexual orientation with their health professionals, while 90% contained reservations about the discussion (Hafeez *et al.*, 2017). The absence and fear of communication between client and clinician is responsible for inadequate access to healthcare resources and outcomes. The deficiency of providers' awareness and callousness to the needs of the intersectional communities, many of whom were LGBTI, further results in poor care and heightened reproach. When identifying the inequity towards the LGBTI community in healthcare, the complexity of the industry demands the consideration of the health professionals, the LGBTI patients, and the administrative processes that govern their interactions.

Current literature demonstrates evidence for an ever-emerging unanimity among clinicians that addressing sexual expression is an absent component of the universal care of patients. LGBTI individuals continue to narrate greater dissatisfaction with healthcare services

and professionals regardless of the accessibility to training programs for qualifying staff. Not overshadowing the current accomplishments of educational centers and curricula to aid health care providers, various LGBTI patients continue to receive impertinent treatment concerning provider attitudes. As per a report by science communications officer Arthur for the London Institute of Medical Sciences, health professionals' claim their attitudes towards LGBTI patients were positive, nevertheless, the majority of providers reported a discrepancy in the amount of LGBTI health training they received and communicated (2021). Care professionals self-reported skepticism about the nursing of transgender patients and cynicism in knowing where to locate information about local LGBTI-specific health services. However, instances of discrimination from staff to other colleagues does indeed indicate numerous stigmatical attitudes towards minority patients. In a study conducted by senior lecturer Hunt at Cambridge University, it was ascertained that 72% of care workers expressed neglect to consider sexuality in relevance to a patient's health necessities (2019). Likewise, 10% of healthcare staff witnessed associates voicing the belief that patients could be cured of their non-cisgendered-heterosexual orientation (Hunt *et al.*, 2019). Evidentially, amongst various clinicians, there exists inadequate understanding of person-centered treatment and the impact of interventions, ambience, and treatments on LGBTI individuals. Postdoctoral research fellow Müller testified that patients' gender identities and sexual orientations were commonly revealed by healthcare professionals with other partners and patients nonconsensually (2017). Such findings and clinician behaviors discourage LGBTI patients from disclosing their sexuality to healthcare providers in order to refrain from further refutation and disrespect. These diverse studies explicitly and implicitly account for LGBTI individuals' marginalization and unjust discrimination associated with health discrepancies.

A substantial body of large-scale global reviews progressively reveal the array of barriers encountered by LGBTI patients when accessing healthcare services and receiving treatment. The LGBTI population presents poorer conditions in relation to physical and mental health in comparison to other cisgendered-heterosexual peers. Men who have sex with men (MSM) suffer disparate levels of HIV infections; the barriers to HIV prevention services persist to progress their engagement with the epidemic. A research study conducted by Assistant Professor Philbin of Sociomedical Sciences, stated that limited access to essential HIV services resulted in a national HIV prevalence of nearly 5% in MSM (Philbin *et al.*, 2018). In addition, the vast majority of HIV prevention services and research is addressed towards the younger demographic and ostracized groups, renouncing countless older MSM uninformed of existing services and their critical significance to emergent epidemics (Philbin *et al.*, 2018). Internal medicine specialist Currin observed fear of condemnation in nonheterosexual individuals prominent to engagement in perilous behaviors regarding substance abuse, HIV-risk related manners, and other chronic disease risks (2018). LGBTI patients lack culturally competent health services and protection due to discriminatory attitudes and procedures within healthcare settings. Thus, the standardization of cisgendered-heterosexuality, preconceptions, and stereotypes towards the LGBTI community levies avoidance in visiting health centers due to anxiety of receiving inadequate care. The results of several selected qualitative studies determined that LGBTI patients reported difficulties in sharing sexual identities with clinicians on account of inequitable experiences with health providers, this deficiency of communication is responsible for insufficient screening for transmissible diseases, derisory interventions to prevent STDs, and other specific health concerns (Hafeez *et al.*, 2017). Even with current cultural awareness in

news media campaigns that address the absence of efficient healthcare, LGBTI patients continue to experience barriers in administrative systems.

In the dearth of institutional systems prohibiting discrimination centered on gender identity and sexuality in healthcare, LGBTI patients are frequently left with insignificant recourse when discrimination ensues. At an international level, the International Covenant on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights (ICESCR) affirms the right to the highest attainable standard of health. The provision acknowledges the impact that social and economic discrimination have on access to and the eminence of healthcare, however, refrains from mentioning sexual orientation (Müller *et al.*, 2017). Contemporary documents that add operation definitions to the Covenant append particular mentions of sexual orientation that outline the right to health, with a key dimension being non-discrimination to accessibility, acceptability, availability, and quality of care. Nevertheless, systematic barriers including denial of screening invitations, deliberate withholding of treatment, and lack of specialized services such as gender identity clinics do not reinforce this Covenant. LGBTI patients are placed at a heightened risk for several cancers, STDs, and obesity due to limited culturally sensitive screening services (Hafeez *et al.*, 2017). Despite existing safeguards, numerous LGBTI individuals cannot access quality care due to absent cultural competence and unfair treatment by healthcare providers. Hardly 5% of British LGBTI patients had testified providers offering patients access to services targeted at stimulating their gender identity or sexual orientation (Arthur *et al.*, 2021). The overall non-availability of services further undermines several facility users and substantially influences their ability to be given proper care. The ICESCR framework provides a valuable lens needed to evaluate access to healthcare for LGBTI patients and links to patient discrimination based on sexual orientation in spite of protection.

When considering inequities in LGBTI healthcare, the complex industry necessitates the examination of healthcare providers, sexual minority patients, and managerial processes that regulate clinician-to-client interactions. LGBTI patients confront societal barriers associated with stigma when attempting to access the healthcare system, with much of this community's populace reporting discriminatory experiences with medical professionals. To offer culturally sensitive and gender affirming care, it is imperative for providers' to be conscious of these inequalities, without being presumptuous about any particular patient. Thus, Professor Martínez at the University of Valencia concluded that healthcare providers can advocate for LGBTI health to be integrated in educational curricula, promote public LGBTI health initiatives, and ensure compliance with nondiscriminatory policies (2021). Nevertheless, progress is being made, intersectional equality in every aspect will progress with each generation of change, as individuals strive to promote equal treatment and opportunities for minorities. The road towards equity for LGBTI patients in healthcare has come far since the ICESCR was adopted in 1966, and a great deal remains to be done—but things are looking up.

### References

- Arthur, S., Jamieson, A., Cross, H., Nambiar, K., & Llewellyn, C. D. (2021). Medical students' awareness of health issues, attitudes, and confidence about caring for lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender patients: A cross-sectional survey. *BMC Medical Education*, 21, 1-8. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02409-6>
- Currin, J. M., Hubach, R. D., Crethar, H. C., Hammer, T. R., Hang-Shim, L., & Larson, M. (2018). Barriers to accessing mental healthcare for gay and bisexual men living in Oklahoma. *Sexuality Research & Social Policy*, 15(4), 483-496. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s13178-018-0321-5>
- Dellenborg, Persson, J. T., Jakobsson Ung, E., Johannsson, G., Olofsson, A.-C., & Björkman, I. (2020). Perspectives on sexuality among patients with hypopituitarism: Broadening the medical focus on sexual function to include sexual wellbeing. *Sexuality and Disability*, 38(3), 515–532. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11195-020-09625-4>
- Fish, & Karban, Kate. (2015). *Lesbian, gay, bisexual and trans health inequalities: International perspectives in social work* (First edition.). Policy Press.
- Hooper, A., De Boos, D., Nair, R. das, & Moghaddam, N. (2016). First-person narratives around sexuality in residential healthcare settings: A meta-ethnographic synthesis. *Sexual & Relationship Therapy*, 31(2), 207–229. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14681994.2015.1131256>
- Hudaisa, H., Muhammad, Z., Tahir, M. A., Nusrat, J., & Sadiq, N. (2017). Health care disparities among lesbian, gay, bisexual, and transgender youth: A literature review. *Cureus*, 9(4) doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.7759/cureus.1184>
- Hunt, R., Bates, C., Walker, S., Grierson, J., Redsell, S., & Meads, C. (2019). A systematic review of UK educational and training materials aimed at health and social care staff

about providing appropriate services for LGBT+ people. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(24)

doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16244976>

Kneale, Thomas, J., & French, R. (2020). Inequalities in health and care among lesbian, gay, and bisexual people aged 50 and older in the United Kingdom: A systematic review and meta-analysis of sources of individual participant data. *The Journals of Gerontology. Series B, Psychological Sciences and Social Sciences*, 75(8), 1758–1771.

<https://doi.org/10.1093/geronb/gbaa071>

Logie, C. H., Lys, C. L., Dias, L., Schott, N., Zouboules, M. R., MacNeill, N., & Mackay, K. (2019). Automatic assumption of your gender, sexuality and sexual practices is also discrimination: Exploring sexual healthcare experiences and recommendations among sexually and gender diverse persons in Arctic Canada. *Health & Social Care in the Community*, 27(5), 1204–1213. <https://doi.org/10.1111/hsc.12757>

Medina-Martínez, J., Saus-Ortega, C., María Montserrat Sánchez-Lorente, Sosa-Palanca, E., García-Martínez, P., & Mármol-López, M. I. (2021). Health inequities in LGBT people and nursing interventions to reduce them: A systematic review. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(22), 11801.

doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182211801>

Muller, A. (2017). Scrambling for access: Availability, accessibility, acceptability and quality of healthcare for lesbian, gay, bisexual and transgender people in South Africa. *BMC International Health and Human Rights*, 17 doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12914-017-0124-4>



Philbin, Hirsch, J. S., Wilson, P. A., Ly, A. T., Giang, L. M., & Parker, R. G. (2018). Structural barriers to HIV prevention among men who have sex with men (MSM) in Vietnam:

Diversity, stigma, and healthcare access. *PloS One*, 13(4), e0195000–e0195000.

<https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0195000>

Sherriff, N., Zeeman, L., McGlynn, N., Pinto, N., Hugendubel, K., Mirandola, M., Browne, K.

(2019). Co-producing knowledge of lesbian, gay, bisexual, trans and intersex (LGBTI)

health-care inequalities via rapid reviews of grey literature in 27 EU member states.

*Health Expectations*, 22(4), 688-700. doi:<http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/hex.12934>